

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/676,952	09/30/2003	Vugranam C. Sreedhar	YOR920030353US1 (590.113)	8133	
35195 FERENCE &	7590 05/12/2008 ASSOCIATES LLC		EXAM	EXAMINER	
409 BROAD STREET		KISS, I	KISS, ERIC B		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2192		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/676,952	SREEDHAR, VUGRANAM C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric B. Kiss	2192	

	Eric B. Kiss	2192						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							

/Eric B. Kiss/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Merely "allowing" a user to model an aspect of a software artifact (as recited in proposed claims 1.21) is not itself a tangible result, e.g., there is still nothing produced or changed by practicing the claimed invention, so as to achieve a practical application of the otherwise abstract idea. Further, the data structure recited in claim 21 still appears to be non-functional descriptive material, and the mere storage of such on a data storage device does not render it statutory subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection under 35 USC 101 would be maintained in view of the proposed amendments.

Applicant's comments regarding the Information Disclosure statement are correct. 10 of the 17 cited references have been considered as indicated by the examiner's initials on the form PTO/SB/08B.

Applicant's proposed amendments to the Specification would appear to overcome the objections to the disclosure if submitted as part of an enterable amendment.